Central bank's stabilization and communication policies when firms have motivated overconfidence in their own information accuracy or processing

Camille Cornand and Rodolphe Dos Santos Ferreira

Discussion by Zeno Enders



June 2022

First comment

Title too long

Summary

Setup

- Economy with households, firms, and a central bank (CB)
- Only relevant fundamental: labor-supply shock
- Price level (and inflation) irrelevant for welfare & all firms equal:

 → only CB objective is minimization of price dispersion
- Price dispersion b/c firms obtain signal with idiosyncratic noise Central bank gets signal with different noise
- Central bank can
 - communicate its signal, potentially with added noise
 - set nominal GDP

Motivated beliefs

Firms 'enjoy' to raise expected profits by reducing their belief about...

- a) the variance of private noise σ_{ε}^2
- b) the variances of private noise σ_{ε}^2 & of CB's added noise σ_{ϕ}^2
- → Firms maximize expected profits minus function of distortion and ratio of *non-distorted relative to distorted noise variances*:

a)
$$\max_{\delta} \mathbb{E}_{i} \Pi - f\left(\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2} + \sigma_{\phi}^{2}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}}\right) C(\delta)$$

New results

- a) For certain parameter values, a CB that can only communicate adds noise to its communication of the signal
 - With less CB noise, non-distorted variances decrease, reducing distortion costs and hence raising distortion

Motivated beliefs

Firms 'enjoy' to raise expected profits by reducing their belief about...

- a) the variance of private noise σ_{ε}^2
- b) the variances of private noise σ_{ε}^2 & of CB's added noise σ_{ϕ}^2
- → Firms maximize expected profits minus function of distortion and ratio of *non-distorted relative to distorted noise variances*:

b)
$$\max_{\delta} \mathbb{E}_{i} \Pi - f\left(\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} + \sigma_{\phi}^{2}}\right) C(\delta)$$

New results

- b) For certain parameter values, CB only partly stabilizes GDP and reduces noise in its communication
 - With more CB noise, distorted variances increase, reducing distortion costs and hence raising distortion

Comments

Thought-provoking paper on important topic: Policy implications of 'irrational' expectations

May rationalize noisy ECB forecasts?



Figure: ECB inflation forecasts and realization (red line), from Conrad et al. (2022)

Two main comments

- Theoretical: results sensitive to ad-hoc assumption
- Empirical: analyzed cases questionable

Enders on Cornand and Dos Santos Ferreira

Summary

Comments

Comments: theory

Main conclusions hinge on (ad-hoc) assumption:

Firms maximize expected profits minus function of distortion and ratio of *non-distorted relative to distorted noise variances*

Potential, equally plausible alternative:

Allow distortions to reduce objective profits by certain percentage

Implications:

- a) Reducing CB noise makes distortion (listening less to CB) more costly: reduces distortion. Back to full transparency
- b) Higher CB noise makes distortion (listening more to CB) more costly: reduces distortion. Back to full opacity
- \Rightarrow Depending on assumptions, conclusions may flip

Comments: empirics

Born et al. (2022): Bordalo et al. (2020) regression of individual ifo firm expectations on forecast revisions and public news



Note: grey=not significant, bright green=10%, dark green=5%

⇒ Private and public signals treated in opposing ways, contrary to assumptions here

Enders on Cornand and Dos Santos Ferreira

Summary

Comments

Conclusion

Interesting microfoundation of the expectation-formation mechanism

Important step to derive policy implications from these new models

Main comments:

- Theoretical implications not robust
- Other cases more plausible empirically